

Rethinking Security

for a just and peaceful world

Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP
Secretary of State for International Development
Department for International Development
22 Whitehall
London, SW1A 2EG

17 February 2020

Dear Ms Trevelyan,

We wish to offer our congratulations on your recent appointment as Secretary of State for International Development.

As experts in the fields of peace and security, we share your awareness of the many challenges of the current international context and the complex choices facing government. Equally, we recognise the significant opportunities that exist for the UK government to make a vital contribution, alongside others, to creating the conditions for peace, security and sustainable development.

While noting the many existing work streams in progress within DFID, and the priorities they have been accorded, we wish to encourage you to bring a fresh perspective to your new portfolio. The current flux created by both the changing international context, and the UK's transition out of membership of the European Union, provides a rare opportunity for the UK to rearticulate its core values, redefine its place in the world, and reset its approach to shared global challenges.

We acknowledge that the government's Global Britain agenda is intended to capture that opportunity. However, we believe that this agenda has so far lacked sufficient attention to some of the key priorities for peace and international security to which your Department can contribute. Specifically, we suggest the following areas of action:

- 1. Conflict-sensitive analysis of new trading relationships.** As the UK renegotiates trading and other relationships with individual states and multilateral institutions, we would like to see a cross-government approach that assesses how these interactions might contribute to either exacerbating global insecurity and violence, or building peace and respect for human rights. DFID has important expertise to contribute to these decisions.
- 2. Reject 'Countering/Preventing Violent Extremism' (C/PVE) approaches.** DFID should separate its international development efforts from the failed global war on terror by divesting from C/PVE approaches and avoiding using aid in the service of security objectives such as counter-terrorism. Instead, it could refocus its investment on peacebuilding, human rights and development.
- 3. Enhance aid and development spending.** To maximise the potential of its 0.7% commitment, DFID could increase efforts to ensure its programming contributes to the achievement of SDG16+, supporting local civil society groups working for peace, justice, equality and development. An effective focus on achieving SDG16+ commitments will be essential to tackling the structural conditions that lock the world's poorest people into poverty and inequality.

- 4. Prioritising prevention of insecurity: tackling climate breakdown, inequality and marginalisation.** Many of the world's most serious challenges – inequality, climate change, marginalisation – require intensive international cooperation as well as action at home. Government departments could give these challenges greater strategic priority and investment, and be monitored and evaluated accordingly, including for the effectiveness of their collaboration with international partners.
- 5. Championing and implementing international treaty obligations and policy frameworks.** The UK could demonstrate its renewed commitment to global norms and multilateral action through implementation of, and sustained political and financial support for, the UN 'Sustaining Peace' agenda, the peaceful, just and inclusive societies elements of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (SDG16+), the newly-established Youth, Peace and Security agenda, successive resolutions to UNSCR 1325 (2000) on Women, Peace and Security, and the Arms Trade Treaty.
- 6. Diplomacy for peace.** The government should prioritise and advocate for political and economic strategies to address enduring violent conflict. It could harness and build the UK's diplomatic capacity for peace, by increasing political and financial support for peace processes and mediation, investing in local capacities for peacebuilding in conflict contexts, and further developing of knowledge and skills in this area within the FCO and DFID.
- 7. Re-set arms export policy.** With the UK potentially operating outside the EU Common Position after leaving the European Union, the government should reaffirm its commitment to the Arms Trade Treaty. It could demonstrate this in practice by introducing a presumption of denial when considering applications for licenses for the export of military equipment to repressive governments, states where there are credible allegations of human rights violations or areas of violent conflict. DFID in particular can play an important role in advising on export licenses.

We appreciate that many of these proposals would represent a course alteration with regard to recent government policy. However, with this year's Integrated Security, Defence and Foreign Policy Review, the country has an opportunity to forge a new approach and we urge you to give these proposals careful consideration.

To provide some illustration of how various peer countries in have approached the complexities of national security policy-making, we are pleased to enclose a report by Rethinking Security comparing the National Security Strategies of 20 European and North American countries.

We encourage you to engage with the many organisations, like ourselves, who will be willing to work with you to make them a reality. We would very much welcome a meeting with you to discuss these proposals further.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Reeve, Co-ordinator, Rethinking Security

Rethinking Security is a network of academics, campaigners and non-governmental organisations including Campaign Against Arms Trade, Campaign For Nuclear Disarmament, Centre for Feminist Foreign Policy, Conciliation Resources, Forces Watch, International Alert, Movement for the Abolition of War, Oxford Research Group, Peace Direct, Quaker Peace And Social Witness, Quaker Asylum and Refugee Network, Saferworld, Scientists for Global Responsibility, United Nations Association – UK, and War on Want.